

Comment

Consultee	Jean-Claude Jardim (1334957)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Address	X X X
Event Name	Proposed Submission (Reg 19) West Berkshire Local Plan Review 2022-2039
Comment by	Jean-Claude Jardim (1334957)
Comment ID	PS440
Response Date	02/03/23 00:49
Consultation Point	Policy SP 2 North Wessex Downs AONB (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1
Bookmark	Jardim, Jean-Claude

1. Do you consider the Local Plan Review is legally compliant?

Please see the guidance note for an explanation of what 'legally compliant' means

Yes

Please give reasons for your answer

I have no reason to believe it is illegal, but I'm not a legal expert.

2. Do you consider the Local Plan Review is sound?

Please see the guidance notes for an explanation of what 'soundness' means.

The soundness of the LPR should be assessed against the following criteria from the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF).

Please tick all that apply:

Positively Prepared: The plan provides a strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet the area's objectively assessed need and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas . Yes

is accommodated where practical to do so and is consistent with achieving sustainable development.

Justified: the plan is an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence. . Yes

Effective: the plan is deliverable over the plan period and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground. . Yes

Consistent with national policy: the plan should enable the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies of the NPPF. . Yes

3. Do you consider the Local Plan Review complies with the Duty to Co-operate?

Please see the guidance note for an explanation of what 'Duty to Cooperate' means.

Yes

Please give reasons for your answer

Yes, although the sheer volume of text and ancillary documents does present a challenge to cooperation with the public.

4. Proposed Changes

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan Review legally compliant or sound, having regard to the tests you have identified above (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan Review legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

In Policy SP 2 (North Wessex Downs AONB), as most of this area is agriculture and farmland, I'd like to see objectives mentioned that while protecting the AONB, also enable and encourage farmers to produce more to food for the local towns. If the local towns consumed more local produce this would reduce West Berkshire overall Carbon Footprint, and contribute towards more sustainable and robust local economies.

5. Independent Examination

If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the examination hearing session(s)? . No

6. Notification of Progress of the Local Plan Review

Do you wish to be notified of any of the following?

Please tick all that apply

The submission of the Local Plan Review for Independent Examination . Yes

The publication of the report of the Inspector appointed to carry out the examination . Yes

The adoption of the Local Plan Review . Yes

Comment

Consultee	Jean-Claude Jardim (1334957)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Address	x x x
Event Name	Proposed Submission (Reg 19) West Berkshire Local Plan Review 2022-2039
Comment by	Jean-Claude Jardim (1334957)
Comment ID	PS441
Response Date	02/03/23 00:54
Consultation Point	Policy SP 9 Historic Environment (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1
Bookmark	Jardim, Jean-Claude

1. Do you consider the Local Plan Review is legally compliant?

Please see the guidance note for an explanation of what 'legally compliant' means

Yes

2. Do you consider the Local Plan Review is sound?

Please see the guidance notes for an explanation of what 'soundness' means.

The soundness of the LPR should be assessed against the following criteria from the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF).

Please tick all that apply:

Positively Prepared: The plan provides a strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet the area's objectively assessed need and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where practical to do so and is consistent with achieving sustainable development. . Yes

Justified: the plan is an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence. . Yes

Effective: the plan is deliverable over the plan period and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground. . Yes

Consistent with national policy: the plan should enable the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies of the NPPF. . Yes

3. Do you consider the Local Plan Review complies with the Duty to Co-operate?

Please see the guidance note for an explanation of what 'Duty to Cooperate' means.

Yes

4. Proposed Changes

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan Review legally compliant or sound, having regard to the tests you have identified above (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan Review legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

5.47 Designated heritage assets in 2020 include:

- . 53 Conservation Areas
- . Approximately 1900 Listed Building
- . Approximately 90 Scheduled Monuments

The above should contain the exact amount of listed buildings and not approximations, especially considering total net listed builds and Scheduled Monuments are part of the outlined monitoring plan and objectives.

5. Independent Examination

If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the examination hearing session(s)? No

6. Notification of Progress of the Local Plan Review

Do you wish to be notified of any of the following?


Please tick all that apply

The submission of the Local Plan Review for Independent Examination . Yes

The publication of the report of the Inspector appointed to carry out the examination . Yes

The adoption of the Local Plan Review . Yes

Comment

Consultee	Jean-Claude Jardim (1334957)
Email Address	
Address	x x x
Event Name	Proposed Submission (Reg 19) West Berkshire Local Plan Review 2022-2039
Comment by	Jean-Claude Jardim (1334957)
Comment ID	PS556
Response Date	02/03/23 22:57
Consultation Point	Table 11 Monitoring Indicator 2 - Housing (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1
Bookmark	Jardim, Jean-Claude

1. Do you consider the Local Plan Review is legally compliant?

Please see the guidance note for an explanation of what 'legally compliant' means

Yes

2. Do you consider the Local Plan Review is sound?

Please see the guidance notes for an explanation of what 'soundness' means.

The soundness of the LPR should be assessed against the following criteria from the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF).

Please tick all that apply:

Positively Prepared: The plan provides a strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet the area's objectively assessed need and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where practical to do so and is consistent with achieving sustainable development. . Yes

Justified: the plan is an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence.

Effective: the plan is deliverable over the plan period and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground.

Consistent with national policy: the plan should enable the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies of the NPPF. . Yes

3. Do you consider the Local Plan Review complies with the Duty to Co-operate?

Please see the guidance note for an explanation of what 'Duty to Cooperate' means.

Yes

Please give reasons for your answer

Yes, although I would have liked to have seen more input from the public.

4. Proposed Changes

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan Review legally compliant or sound, having regard to the tests you have identified above (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan Review legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

I propose that the monitoring of "Number of net dwellings completed" as a delivery indicator is an insufficient measure of whether or not the Council is meeting the districts housing needs. As it fails to take into account whether these dwellings are occupied or not. I propose that a measure to be added which takes into account suitable occupancy of total and newly developed dwellings.

5. Independent Examination

If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the examination hearing session(s)? No

6. Notification of Progress of the Local Plan Review

Do you wish to be notified of any of the following?

Please tick all that apply

The submission of the Local Plan Review for Independent Examination . Yes

The publication of the report of the Inspector appointed to carry out the examination . Yes

The adoption of the Local Plan Review . Yes

Comment

Consultee	Jean-Claude Jardim (1334957)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Address	X X X
Event Name	Proposed Submission (Reg 19) West Berkshire Local Plan Review 2022-2039
Comment by	Jean-Claude Jardim (1334957)
Comment ID	PS557
Response Date	02/03/23 22:41
Consultation Point	Policy DM 4 Building Sustainable Homes and Businesses (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1
Bookmark	Jardim, Jean-Claude

1. Do you consider the Local Plan Review is legally compliant?

Please see the guidance note for an explanation of what 'legally compliant' means

Yes

2. Do you consider the Local Plan Review is sound?

Please see the guidance notes for an explanation of what 'soundness' means.

The soundness of the LPR should be assessed against the following criteria from the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF).

Please tick all that apply:

Positively Prepared: The plan provides a strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet the area's objectively assessed need and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where practical to do so and is consistent with achieving sustainable development. . Yes

Justified: the plan is an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence.

Effective: the plan is deliverable over the plan period and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground.

Consistent with national policy: the plan should enable the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies of the NPPF. . Yes

3. Do you consider the Local Plan Review complies with the Duty to Co-operate?

Please see the guidance note for an explanation of what 'Duty to Cooperate' means.

Yes

4. Proposed Changes

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan Review legally compliant or sound, having regard to the tests you have identified above (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan Review legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

In Section 4. Carbon offsetting. The lack of specificity of the "cash in lieu contribution" is concerning as it could be a potential avenue for misuse.

The amount relative should be specified in £/tCO₂ and how the council is to use this contribution to offset the Carbon specified.

5. Independent Examination

If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the examination hearing session(s)? No

6. Notification of Progress of the Local Plan Review

Do you wish to be notified of any of the following?


Please tick all that apply

The submission of the Local Plan Review for Independent Examination

The publication of the report of the Inspector appointed to carry out the examination

The adoption of the Local Plan Review

Comment

Consultee	Jean-Claude Jardim (1334957)
Email Address	
Address	x x x
Event Name	Proposed Submission (Reg 19) West Berkshire Local Plan Review 2022-2039
Comment by	Jean-Claude Jardim (1334957)
Comment ID	PS558
Response Date	02/03/23 22:55
Consultation Point	Policy SP 5 Responding to Climate Change (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1
Bookmark	Jardim, Jean-Claude

1. Do you consider the Local Plan Review is legally compliant?

Please see the guidance note for an explanation of what 'legally compliant' means

Yes

2. Do you consider the Local Plan Review is sound?

Please see the guidance notes for an explanation of what 'soundness' means.

The soundness of the LPR should be assessed against the following criteria from the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF).

Please tick all that apply:

Positively Prepared: The plan provides a strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet the area's objectively assessed need and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where practical to do so and is consistent with achieving sustainable development. . Yes

Justified: the plan is an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence.

Effective: the plan is deliverable over the plan period and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground.

Consistent with national policy: the plan should enable the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies of the NPPF. . Yes

3. Do you consider the Local Plan Review complies with the Duty to Co-operate?

Please see the guidance note for an explanation of what 'Duty to Cooperate' means.

Yes

4. Proposed Changes

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan Review legally compliant or sound, having regard to the tests you have identified above (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan Review legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

I propose that within Policy SP5 the objective of enabling and promoting the consumption of local goods and materials is added, and that favourable consideration is given to developments that aid this.

This will ultimately reduce transport emissions, and aid the Net Zero goal while enabling local economic opportunities. Making West Berkshire a more robust to external risks, as the Covid Pandemic has shown us we can't continue to be wholly reliant on globalization to meet local demands.

5. Independent Examination

If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the examination hearing session(s)? No

6. Notification of Progress of the Local Plan Review

Do you wish to be notified of any of the following?

Please tick all that apply

The submission of the Local Plan Review for Independent Examination . Yes

The publication of the report of the Inspector appointed to carry out the examination . Yes

The adoption of the Local Plan Review . Yes