

## Comment

Consultee	Richard Lloyd (1334986)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Address	
Event Name	Proposed Submission (Reg 19) West Berkshire Local Plan Review 2022-2039
Comment by	Richard Lloyd (1334986)
Comment ID	PS458
Response Date	02/03/23 10:58
Consultation Point	Policy SP 17 North East Thatcham Strategic Site Allocation ( <a href="#">View</a> )
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.3
Bookmark	Lloyd, Richard

### 1. Do you consider the Local Plan Review is legally compliant?

*Please see the guidance note for an explanation of what 'legally compliant' means*

Yes

### 2. Do you consider the Local Plan Review is sound?

*Please see the guidance notes for an explanation of what 'soundness' means.*

The soundness of the LPR should be assessed against the following criteria from the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF).

*Please tick all that apply:*

**Positively Prepared: The plan provides a strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet the area's objectively assessed need and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where practical to do so and is consistent with achieving sustainable development.** . No

**Justified: the plan is an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence.** . No

**Effective: the plan is deliverable over the plan period and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground.** . No

**Consistent with national policy: the plan should enable the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies of the NPPF.** . No

**Please give reasons for your answer**

I am objecting to the West Berkshire plan on the basis of over-development in the area.

Plenty of new housing has already been built and it will fall within the AONB. The current roads will not be able to handle the extra housing which are already extremely busy especially in the Bucklebury area which is already struggling to cope with the existing traffic. The proposed location would ruin that beautiful part of the area and set a precedent for further development. Plenty of new housing has already been built around Floral Lane which was understood to be the boundary to ensure no new housing would be built past there and up the hill.

Also it would place considerable strain on the current infrastructure (roads etc).

For the reasons above I strongly object to the plan.

**3. Do you consider the Local Plan Review complies with the Duty to Co-operate?**

*Please see the guidance note for an explanation of what 'Duty to Cooperate' means.*

No

**4. Proposed Changes**

**Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan Review legally compliant or sound, having regard to the tests you have identified above (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).**

*You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan Review legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.*

Please refer to objections stated above

**5. Independent Examination**

**If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the examination hearing session(s)?** . No

**6. Notification of Progress of the Local Plan Review**

**Do you wish to be notified of any of the following?**

*Please tick all that apply*

**The submission of the Local Plan Review for Independent Examination** . Yes

**The publication of the report of the Inspector appointed to carry out the examination** . Yes

**The adoption of the Local Plan Review**

. Yes