

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [PlanningPolicy](#)  
**Subject:** WBC LPR Regulation 19 Objection  
**Date:** 03 March 2023 12:41:04

---

**This is an EXTERNAL EMAIL. STOP. THINK before you CLICK links or OPEN attachments.**

---

I am writing to object for the following reasons:

- Traffic – increased traffic through Upper Bucklebury and other villages; WBC propose a new roundabout on Harts Hill to allow traffic in and out of the northern end of the development, and a car park, also on Harts Hill; additional congestion on the A4
- Pressure on secondary schools – Kennet is already over-subscribed; accommodation for additional secondary school places has not been specifically stated
- Consequential damage to the Common – increased footfall; increasing damage to an ecosystem of national importance
- Environment – Greenfield development abutting an AONB with no up-to-date evidence nor strategy for positive impact and overall biodiversity gain
- Pressure on medical & complementary services – additional GP surgeries are not part of NHS strategy for the future
- A ‘Country park’ – this has a specific accepted definition which is not met in this Plan. The three small, isolated areas inside the proposed settlement boundary have no meaningful environmental value or commitment to exclude subsequent development
- Lack of strategic gap between Thatcham and Bucklebury – Thatcham and Upper Bucklebury will effectively merge and Upper Bucklebury will lose its identity
- Number of houses now “at least 1,500” – From an initial site assessment of 2,500 of which 1,250 were to be built in the Plan period, this has now in fact **increased** to 1,500 houses because the Plan extends to 2039 rather than 2036 (as originally proposed).

Regards

Andrea Newill

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]