

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Response to local plan consultation  
**Date:** 31 January 2025 12:58:41

---

**This is an EXTERNAL EMAIL. STOP. THINK before you CLICK links or OPEN attachments.**

---

Part A  
Annette Dickens

Part B

Response on Main Mods To Local Plan-A Dickens

MM25

This says SP17 will be a comprehensive development yet the Local Plan is riven with ambiguities and statements on sustainability unsupported by any evidence. This makes the LP unsound as it has not been positively prepared.

The statement that the Green Infrastructure will be 'in perpetuity' needs strengthening with a binding legal agreement.

The Local Plan's entries on ecological and biodiversity impact lack supporting detail. Where evidence is given, it is outdated.

Response on Sustainability Appraisal

The SA states that the quantum of development on SP17 has been increased by 1000 homes but does not deal with the impact of the additional homes on sustainability. Incredibly the policy objectives scoring in the SA. for 1500 homes is unchanged for 2500 homes. This also makes the SA unsound.

The Levelling up and Regeneration Act 2023 statutory requirements regarding environmental protection have not been taken into account in the SA so it is unsound.